2001. IIIrd quarter GVH'S PROCEEDINGS ENDED WITH THE DECISION OF THE COMPETITION COUNCIL

1. OVERVIEW

	Number of cases	Inter-vention of GVH ^(a)	% of cases	Fines imposed (1000 HUF)	% of fines	Fines imposed for failed notifications (1000 HUF)
Abuse of dominant position	33	3	8,1	10 000	16,8	-
abusive	15	0	0,0	0	0,0	-
restrictive	12	0	0,0	0	0,0	-
composite and other	6	3	8,1	10 000	16,8	-
Restrictive agreements	10	1	2,7	0	0,0	0
horizontal	7	1	2,7	0	0,0	0
vertical	3	0	0,0	0	0,0	0
composite and other	0	0	0,0	0	0,0	0
Concentration	81	2	5,4	-	-	13 900
horizontal	44	1	2,7	-	-	13 900
vertical	11	0	0,0	-	-	0
composite and other	26	1	2,7	-	-	0
Antitrust cases altogether	124	6	16,2	10 000	16,8	13 900
Consumer fraud	59	31	83,8	49 700	83,2	-
delusion of consumer	56	29	78,4	39 700	66,5	-
restricting the choice of onsumer	2	2	5,4	10 000	16,8	-
composite	1	0	0,0	0	0,0	-
All cases	183	37	100,0	59 700	100,0	13 900
% of cases	100,0	20,2				

2001. HIrd quarter GVH'S PROCEEDINGS ENDED WITH THE DECISION OF THE COMPETITION COUNCIL

1. OVERVIEW

- (a) Depending on the type of the case, GVH (Office of Economic Competition) interventions might result in different types of decisions:
- · establishment of the infringement: applied in all types of cases (However the category of 'failure to notify the concentration' is not included although these are also infringements of the Competition Act. This influences the overall number of infringements and fines.)
- · termination of proceedings after suspension: applied in all types of cases (except the concentrations)
- · refusal of the exemption: applied in the case of restrictive agreements
- · prohibited concentrations (refused notifications): applied in the case of concentrations and restrictive agreements
- · imposition of condition: applied in the case of restrictive agreements and concentrations
- · voluntary acceptance of the reflections of GVH: applied in the case of concentrations and restrictive agreements