

**1999.**  
GVH'S POCEEDINGS ENDED WITH THE DECISIONS OF THE COMPETITION COUNCIL

1. QUICK OVERVIEW

	Number of cases	Intervention of the GVH <sup>(a)</sup>	% of the interventions	Fines (1000 HUF)	% of fines
<b>Abuse of dominant position</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>13,500</b>	<b>32.2</b>
<i>abusive</i>	<i>23</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>10.5</i>	<i>12,500</i>	<i>29.8</i>
<i>restrictive</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>1.8</i>	<i>1,000</i>	<i>2.4</i>
<i>composite and other</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<b>Restrictive agreements</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>7,000</b>	<b>16.7</b>
<i>horizontal</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>10.5</i>	<i>7,000</i>	<i>16.7</i>
<i>vertical</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<i>composite and other</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<b>Concentrations</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<i>horizontal</i>	<i>33</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<i>vertical</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<i>composite and other</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0.0</i>
<b>Antitrust cases altogether</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>22.8</b>	<b>20,500</b>	<b>48.9</b>

1999.

GVH'S PROCEEDINGS ENDED WITH THE DECISIONS OF THE COMPETITION COUNCIL

1. QUICK OVERVIEW

Consumer fraud	66	44	77.2	21,400	51.1
Cases altogether	163 <sup>(1)</sup>	57	100.0	41,900	100.0
% of cases	100.0	35.0			

<sup>(a)</sup> Depending on the type of the case, GVH (Office of Economic Competition) interventions might result in different types of decisions:

- establishment of the infringement: applied in all types of cases (However the category of 'failure to notify the concentration' is not included although these are also infringements of the Competition Act. This influence the overall number of infringements and fines.)
- termination of proceedings after suspension: applied in all types of cases (except the concentrations)
- refusal of the exemption: applied in the case of restrictive agreements
- prohibited concentrations (refused notifications): applied in the case of concentrations and restrictive agreements
- imposition of conditions: applied in the case of restrictive agreements and concentrations

<sup>(1)</sup> **The number of the proceedings (161) and the number of the decisions taken (163) are not equal because two times cases involved two different matters and therefore GVH brought decision in both part of the case. These were case number Vj-172/1998 which was a complex case of restrictive agreement and consumer fraud and case number Vj-24/1999 which involved restrictive agreements and an abuse of dominant position as well.**

**1999.**

GVH'S POCEEDINGS ENDED WITH THE DECISIONS OF THE COMPETITION COUNCIL

1. QUICK OVERVIEW