## 2000. GVH'S PROCEEDINGS ENDED WITH THE DECISIONS OF THE COMPETITION COUNCIL

## 1. QUICK OVERVIEW

	Number of cases	Intervention of GVH	% of cases	Imposed fines (1000 HUF)	% of fines	Fines imposed for failed notifica tions (1000 HUF)
Abuse of dominant position	56	19	23.8	11,800	7.6	-
abusive	31	15	18.8	2,500	1.6	-
restrictive	22	4	5.0	9,300	6.0	-
composite and other	3	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
Restrictive agreements	18	11	13.8	96,000	62.2	0
horizontal	9	8	10.0	11,000	7.1	0
vetical	6	1	1.3	5,000	3.2	0
composite and other	3	2	2.5	80,000	51.8	0
Concentration	70	3	3.8	-	-	2,740
horizontal	41	2	2.5	-	-	2,550
vertical	14	0	0.0	-	-	190
composite and other	15	1	1.3	-	-	0
Antitrust cases altogether	144	33	41.3	107,800	69.8	2,740
Consumer fraud	86	47	58.8	46,600	30.2	-
All cases	230 (!)	80	100.0	154,400	100.0	2,740
% of cases	100.0	34.8				

(a) Depending on the type of the case, GVH (Office of Economic Competition) interventions might result in different types of decisions:

□ establishment of the infringement: applied in all types of cases (However the category of 'failure to notify the concentration' is not included although these are also infringements of the Competition Act. This influence the overall number of infringements and fines.)

□ term	ination o	f procee	dings at	fter susp	ension:	applied	in all	types of	cases (	except	the cor	ncentration	s)

□ prohibited concentrations (refused notifications): applied in the case of concentrations and restrictive agreements

□ voluntary acceptance of the reflections of GVH: applied in the case of concentrations and restrictive agreements

 $<sup>\</sup>square$  refusal of the exemption: applied in the case of restrictive agreements

<sup>☐</sup> imposition of condition: applied in the case of restrictive agreements and concentrations

## 2000. GVH'S PROCEEDINGS ENDED WITH THE DECISIONS OF THE COMPETITION COUNCIL

## 1. QUICK OVERVIEW

(!) The number of the proceedings (230) and the number of the decisions taken (233) are not equal because seven times cases involved two different matters and therefore GVH brought decision in both part of these cases. The cases were the followings:

Vj-61/1999: consumer fraud and abuse of dominant position

Vj-147/1999: consumer fraud and restrictive agreement

Vj-173/1999: consumer fraud and abuse of dominant position

Vj-56/2000: abuse of dominant position and restrictive agreement

Vj-68/2000: consumer fraud and abuse of dominant position

Vj-77/2000: consumer fraud and abuse of dominant position

Vj-111/2000: consumer fraud and abuse of dominant position